

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/522,353 | 01/26/2005 | Ian M Robertson | 555255012830 | 1392 | | |
| 24325 PATENT GRO | 7590 07/22/200 OLIP 2N | 8 | EXAMINER | | | |
| JONES DAY | | NICKERSON, JEFFREY L | | | | |
| NORTH POIN 901 LAKESII | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | CLEVELAND, OH 44114 | | | 2142 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 07/22/2008 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/522,353 | ROBERTSON, IAN M | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| JEFFREY NICKERSON | 2142 | |

| | JEFFREY NICKERSON | 2142 | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | 36(a) and the annronriat | e extension fee | | | | | |
| have been filled it is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | iled within two months | s of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | and the police octions in or | 51 TC 4 1.07 (u). | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered be | cause | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | E below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | will not be entered, or b) 🛛 wil | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi | rided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-49. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| /Andrew Caldwell/ | | | | | | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2142 | | | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. Applicant's arguments regarding specific limitations of claim 1 (i.e. how to determine if messages are related) are unpersuasive, as the limitations are not found in the claim language. Applicant's arguments regarding specific limitations of claims 1 and 3 (determining if messages are related based on attachments) are unpersuasive. See Final Rejection dated 10 April 2008 for response to these arguments.